



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,476	01/20/2006	Akinari Nakamura	601560-22US(04P567US/P351	2073

52092 7590 01/16/2008
AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP
PANASONIC
ONE COMMERCE SQUARE
2005 MARKET STREET SUITE 2200
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

EXAMINER

GARLAND, STEVEN R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2125

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

01/16/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/565,476

Applicant(s)

NAKAMURA ET AL.

Examiner

Steven R. Garland

Art Unit

2125

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Steven R. Garland.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Vogelbacker.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 09 January 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-4 and 10.

Identification of prior art discussed: Crook 5,561,330 and Japanese document 2004-103397.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Steven R. Garland
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Vogelbacker indicated that a translation would be filed to overcome the Japanese document 2004-103397 reference. The examiner stated that the proposed amendment did not appear to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection based on Ueda et al. in view of Crook. The examiner suggested that language regarding the predetermined nature of the stop conditions in a plurality of time periods during a day might be used to better define over the Crook reference. The examiner also indicated that claims 2-4 might require further consideration in view of Ueda et al. and Crook while claim 10 appeared to define over Ueda et al. and Crook.